

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.tepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/722,928	11/26/2003	Bonnie B. Sandel	102289-100	1181
27267 WIGGIN ANI	7590 01/13/200 DANA LLP	EXAMINER		
ATTENTION: PATENT DOCKETING ONE CENTURY TOWER, P.O. BOX 1832 NEW HAVEN, CT 06508-1832			FRAZIER, BARBARA S	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,	1611		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/13/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/722,928	SANDEL ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
BARBARA FRAZIER	1611		

	BARBARA FRAZIER	1611					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 29 December 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1. Some the properties of the after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appfor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Coperiods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	which places the r (3) a Request				
a) The period for reply expires 4_months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire it Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) Or MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706. To Kethersions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE f). on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1	g date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FII 36(a) and the appropriat	on. LED WITHIN TWO e extension fee				
have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	hortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing dat	inally set in the final Offici le of the final rejection, e	e action; or (2) as ven if timely filed,				
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	s of the date of s appeal. Since a				
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b (a) They raise new issues that would require further con (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a company of the present additional claims.			ne issues for				
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).				
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 		imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the				
7. ∑ For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-6.8-15 and 33.		I be entered and an e	xplanation of				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 7 and 16-32.							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appear and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a).				
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but he teachings of Lyon are relied upon to show that it is the wherein a bond forms between the antimicrobial agent a obvious to apply the step of adding the potentiator, taud contains a metal in bound to a polymer. Applicant's cit.	known to apply an antimicrobial soling the metal ion of the substrate. In the substrate of Lave ations from columns 2 and 3 of Lyo	ution to a metal-contai One skilled in the art v er, since the substrate on are drawn to examp	ining substrate, vould find it of Laver already bles and				
preferred embodiments, and do not limit the invention of unobviousness of the claimed invention over the closest		e not provided any ev	idence of				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).							
13. Other: .							

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) /Sharmila Gollamudi Landau/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1611

Application No.

/B. F./ Examiner, Art Unit 1611

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20090109